

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,155	07/23/2003	Pradip Mitra	10919/21401	7997
38441	7590 02/28/2006		EXAM	INER
LAW OFFICES OF JAMES E. WALTON, PLLC 1169 N. BURLESON BLVD.			NGUYEN, HUONG Q	
SUITE 107-3			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BURLESON,	TX 76028		3736	

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$\epsilon$		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/625,155	MITRA, PRADIP			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Helen Nguyen	3736			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	idress		
<ul> <li>Failure to reply within the set or ex</li> </ul>	R, FROM THE MAILING DA le under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 ailing date of this communication. above, the maximum statutory period water tended period for reply will, by statute ter than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONO, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status						
1) Responsive to comr	nunication(s) filed on 23 Ju	ulv 2003				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
/ <u></u>	·—		ers, prosecution as to the	e merits is		
	application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	,	,				
<u>.</u>	nanding in the confication					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<u> </u>	im(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/ar						
6) Claim(s) is/ar			).			
7) Claim(s) is/ar						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> are su	bject to restriction and/or t	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is o	·					
10) The drawing(s) filed	on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not requ	uest that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
· · · · · ·	sheet(s) including the correct	•	• •	• •		
11) The oath or declarati	on is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9					
12)  Acknowledgment is r	nade of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some *	c) None of:		, , , , , , , , ,			
1. Certified copie	es of the priority document	s have been received.				
	es of the priority document		pplication No			
<u> </u>	certified copies of the prior			Stage		
·	m the International Bureau	<b>▼</b>		J		
• •	ailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PT			Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Paten			s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)		
3) Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	normal ratent Application (PTC	J-132)		

Application/Control Number: 10/625,155

Art Unit: 3736

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species 1, drawn to a method and apparatus of detecting diseased tissue by a comparison of the spatial distribution of a first and second plurality of average temperature values to a first and second average temperature, as disclosed in ¶0007 of the specification, also referred to as embodiment 1 in Figure 2. Species 1 is drawn to Claims 1-4, 30-33.

Species 2, drawn to a method and apparatus of detecting diseased tissue by a comparison of the spatial distribution of the slopes of a correlated first and second plurality of average temperature values to a slope of the correlation of known healthy skin, as disclosed in ¶0009 of the specification, also referred to as embodiment 4. Species 2 is drawn to Claims 5-12, 34-40.

Species 3, drawn to a method and apparatus of detecting diseased tissue by a comparison of the intercept of a correlated first and second plurality of average temperature values to an intercept of the correlation of known healthy skin, as disclosed as embodiment 5 in paragraph ¶0029 of the specification. Species 3 is drawn to Claims 12-15, 41-44.

Species 4, drawn to a method and apparatus of detecting diseased tissue by a comparison of the spatial distribution of a first and second plurality of homogeneity of skin temperature values (HST) to a first and second average homogeneity of skin temperature values, as disclosed in paragraph ¶0008 of the specification. Species 4 is drawn to Claims 16-22, 45-51.

Species 5, drawn to a method and apparatus of detecting diseased tissue by a comparison of the spatial distribution of a first and second plurality of temperature standard deviations to a first and second average temperature standard deviation, as disclosed in paragraph ¶0010 of the specification, also referred to as embodiment 8 in Figure 6. Species 5 is drawn to Claims 23-29, 52-58.

Art Unit: 3736

2. The species are independent or distinct because each discloses a different mode of determining diseased tissue as evidenced by the different comparisons used and thus, would require a different search.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

3. Applicant is also required to elect between one or more sets of subspecies, depending upon the first species chosen from the above list. These subspecies are listed as follows:

Species A is directed towards a method and apparatus for detecting diseased tissue by a comparison of the contributing frequency with a lower and upper threshold frequency, as disclosed in ¶0024 of the specification, also referred to as embodiment 2.

Species B is directed towards a method and apparatus for detecting diseased tissue by a comparison of the amplitude of the contributing frequency, as disclosed in ¶0025, also referred to as embodiment 3.

Art Unit: 3736

Species C is directed towards a method and apparatus for detecting diseased tissue by a correlation made at neuronal frequencies, as disclosed in ¶0028.

Species D is directed towards a method and apparatus for detecting diseased tissue by a correlation made at nitric oxide-controlled (NO) frequencies, as disclosed in ¶0028.

Species E is directed towards a method and apparatus for detecting diseased tissue wherein thermal stress is induced by a flow of air over the tissue, as disclosed in ¶0034.

Species F is directed towards a method and apparatus for detecting diseased tissue wherein thermal stress is induced by a water mist over the tissue, as disclosed in ¶0034.

- 4. If applicant chooses **Species 1**, applicant is required to elect between *Species A* and *Species B*, wherein Species A is drawn to **Claims 2**, 31 and Species B is drawn to **Claims 3**, 32.
- 5. If applicant chooses **Species 2**, applicant is required to elect between one of *Species C* and *D*, and between one of *Species E* and *F*, wherein Species C is drawn to **Claims 7, 36**, Species D to **Claims 8, 37**, Species E to **Claims 10, 39**, and Species F to **Claims 11, 40**.
- 6. If applicant chooses **Species 3**, applicant is required to elect between *Species C* and *D*, wherein Species C is drawn to **Claims 14**, **43** and Species D is drawn to **Claims 15**, **44**.
- 7. If applicant chooses **Species 4**, applicant is required to elect between one of *Species A* and *B*, and between one of *Species E* and *F*, wherein Species A is drawn to **Claims 17, 46**, Species B to **Claims 18, 47**, Species E to **Claims 21, 50**, and Species F to **Claims 22, 51**.
- 8. If applicant chooses **Species 5**, applicant is required to elect between one of *Species A* and *B*, and between one of *Species E* and *F*, wherein Species A is drawn to **Claims 24, 53**,

Application/Control Number: 10/625,155

Art Unit: 3736

Species B is drawn to Claims 25, 54, Species E is drawn to Claims 28, 57, and Species F is drawn to Claims 29, 58.

Page 5

9. A telephone call was made to Daren Davis on February 22, 2006 at 12:30 pm to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37) CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-8340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 am - 5 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,155 Page 6

Art Unit: 3736

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HQN 2/22/06

> 2000 A WINDENBURG ... / PATENT EXAMINER